


DRICE - Office Action

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SEP 12 2005

<p>In re application of: Miller ET AL.. Serial No.: 10/780,410 Filed: 02/17/04 Title: Dynamically Re-configurable Internal Combustion Engine</p>	<p>Art Unit: 3747 Examiner: KWON, John T. Docket: DRICE CERTIFICATE OF MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being faxed to the USPTO (571) 873-8300, on September 12th, 2005. Signed:  Walt Froloff</p>
---	--

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED 6/17/05, CONFIRMATION #1297

Commissioner for Patents
M/S Amendments
Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Examiner Kwon:

In response to the Office Action mailed June, 17th, 2005, rejecting claims 1-11, Applicant submits this Response:

➤ Paragraphs arguments below in three sections

1. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112:

To overcome the rejections under 112 as "claims 1-11 are indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter, .. claims are inferential and insufficiently positively recite structural features and relationships to support a clear structure for comparison with Part. ... difficult to determine with any degree of certainty, the precise structural combination intended to be covered" we have added some clarifications which also narrow the scope of the 1st and 2nd claim, thus affecting all the claims 1-11. These are all shown immediately below.